BAKER & MCKENZIE

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DATE FILED:



Leighton Technologies LLC v. Oberthur Card Systems, S.A.,

Baker & McKenzie LLP 1114 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036, USA

Tel: +1 212 626 4100 Fax: +1 212 310 1800 www.bakernet.com

An In PacMe Bengkak Pelima Henol He CN Minh Ch Hong Kong Jekene Kuele Lumpu Macilla Maibourne Shanghai Singupore Sydney Tokyo

January 31, 2006

Hon. Colleen McMahon United States District Court Southern District of New York United States Courthouse 300 Quarropas Street, Room 533 White Plains, New York 10601-4150

James D. Jacobs Tel: +1 212 891 3951 Fax: +1 212 310 1651 James.D.Jacobs@bakemet.com

Via Facsimile

Бигоре 3 Middle Ene

Almany Amstanden Antwerp Behnein Barcetoni Bortin Dologna (fru bouls BUCAPESI Cairo

Frankfurt / Mair Geneva London Medrid Miturn Moscow Munich Perfs

Roma St Potersburg Stockholm Vienna Wante Zurich

North & St

Prague Rivedh

Arrow rings Doocia Brasilla Busnos Almi Calgary Cureces Chicago Dones Оческийога Houston Juaras Mexico City Miomi New York Palo Areo Parta Alegro Rio de Janaire San Diego San Francisco Sentieon Sec Paulo Tijuene Volencia

Washington DC

No. 04 Civ. 02496 (CM)(LMS)

Dear Judge McMahon:

Re:

We represent the defendants Oberthur Card Systems, S.A. ("Oberthur") and Oberthur Card Systems of America Corporation in the above-captioned matter. We write this letter on behalf of the defendants and on behalf of plaintiff Leighton Technologies LLC ("Leighton"), which joins in the request set forth below.

Oberthur has moved this Court for summary judgment of patent invalidity. The motion was fully submitted as of January 5, 2006. Oberthur requested oral argument of the motion. Leighton's counsel has advised us that Leighton also wishes to have oral argument of the motion.

The parties are working together to complete a number of depositions by the fact discovery cutoff of April 6, 2006. To this end, we are scheduling depositions that will take place in the coming weeks in California, Ohio and Washington as well as in England, France, Germany, Italy, Thailand and possibly other locations in the United States and Europe.

In light of the time constraints and the complicated arrangements involved, the parties wish to onsure that the deposition schedule will not conflict with oral argument of the pending motion. The parties therefore jointly repectfully request that the Court advise as to whether they should keep free any dates between today and April 6, 2006 for possible oral argument of the motion.

Very truly yours.

James David Jacobs/SRK

cc:

Robert Gutkin, Esq. (via Facsimile)

SRK

e) Whis is not

CKenzic International - 5:

Baker & McKenzie LLP is a member of Baker & McKenzie International, a Swiss Verein